

Objective 2: Monitoring Establish a baseline and monitor changes in soil carbon and nitrogen levels and GHG emissions related to mitigation of and adaptation to climate change in the region's agriculture.

Brian Lamb¹ (Lead), Heather Baxter¹, Erin Brooks², David Brown¹, Jinshu Chi¹, Jessica Haskins¹, Dave Huggins¹, Kirill Kostyanovsky¹, Chad Kruger¹, Patrick O'Keeffe¹, Bill Pan¹, Lauren Port¹, Shelley Pressley¹, Richard Rupp¹, Brenton Sharratt¹, Claudio Stockle¹, Jordan Strickland¹, Laurel Strom¹, Sarah Waldo¹ Washington State University¹, University of Idaho²

Introduction

to address the overall goal for Objective 2, the following specific tasks are underway: 1) Monitoring greenhouse gas fluxes (CO₂, N₂O) and water vapor fluxes using towers and chambers, 2) Assessment of the impact of wind erosion on C, N budgets, and 3) Monitoring water erosion of C, N as part of the hydrological cycle at the field and watershed scale. Tower based CO₂ and H₂O fluxes are being measured at five sites, ranging from low- to high-rainfall zones and including no-till and conventional tillage practices. At two sites, a hybrid tower/chamber approach is being used to measure N_2O emissions on a continuous basis. Wind event dust samples have been analyzed for N and C loss for fields in the low rainfall zone. Loss of C and N due to water erosion are measured from event sampling and continuous discharge measurements at several watershed scales. Results from each of these tasks are presented here and demonstrate a substantial observational data base for understanding C and N cycling in different wheat cropping zones within the REACCH domain.

Eddy Covariance

The eddy covariance technique measures the net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of CO_2 between the atmosphere and the surface. The flux is calculated as a covariance of instantaneous deviations in vertical wind speed (w') measured by a three-dimensional ultrasonic anemometer and instantaneous deviations in the CO₂ concentration (ρ'_c) measured by a fast-response infrared gas analyzer: $NEE = w \rho_c$



Eddy covariance tower set-up.

Carbon and Water Fluxes



Sign convention: fluxes from the atmosphere to the surface are negative, vice versa.





Location of five eddy covariance towers in the REACCH study area.

Results

N₂O fluxes



Plots of the tower-measured fluxes (red and blue markers on upper portion of plots) and the average chamber flux results (solid red markers with gray area showing one standard deviation of the mean). At CAF-NT, the results are comparable, while at CAF-CT, the tower fluxes are elevated both compared to the chambers and to the CAF-NT tower results. The spike in emissions at CAF-CT coincides with a rainfall event.

All five sites were net CO₂ sink over the measurement periods (1-3 crop years), with cumulative NEE ranging from -126 (MMTN) to -983 g C m⁻² (CAF-NT). • The higher rainfall site (MMTN) had the largest averaged T/ET ratio (0.38) during the spring barley main growing season in 2013, while the irrigated site (MSLK) had the lowest. Largest sediment and carbon transport was observed at the conventional tillage site. • Artificial drainage losses at the no-till site are significant and equivalent in magnitude to the reduction in surface runoff observed at the no-tillage site relative to

Methods N₂O Monitoring: Chambers and Flux Gradient LI-COR chamber Flux tower Chamber Groups N_2O emissions have high spatial and temporal variability (hot spots and hot moments). Using chamber and tower-based measurements together optimizes our ability to monitor accurately: the chambers can detect very low fluxes and can measure continuously, and the tower measures at a fieldintegrated scale.

 The chamber and tower-based N₂O measurements are designed to share one N_2O analyzer.

Chamber flux calculation:

 $\Delta C V$ $F_{N_2O} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} * \frac{1}{A}$ C = gas concentrationt = time

V = chamber volume A = chamber surface area Tower flux calculation:

 $F_{N_2O} = -K * \Delta N_2O$

 $\Delta N_2 O$ calculated by measuring N_2O concentration at two heights K = coefficient determined via similarity theory or a tracer



	CAF-NT
2/10/2015	2/20/2015
	CAF-CT
Tov Tov Tov Cha Cha	CAF-CT
→ Tov → Tov → Cha □ Cha 2/10/2015	CAF-CT

Water losses

- Total sediment/carbon loss from conventional tillage 100x greater than no-tillage
- Surface runoff losses from the conventional tillage site are 20x greater than at the no-till site
- When water loss from artificial subsurface drainage is considered, there is more water loss from the no-tillage site than the conventional tillage site

Measured sediment and carbon transport from the no-tillage (CAF-NT) and conventional tillage (MMNT-CT) sites during 2012-2014 water years (Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC), Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC), Particulate Organic Carbon (POC), Organic Carbon (OC))

Site	Water year	Average Concentrations (mg L ⁻¹)			Annual Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)				
		SSC	DOC	POC	Sediment	Total OC	DO		
Hoopor	2012	775 ± 3047	7 ± 7	NA	2953 ± 5	26 ± 17	6 ±		
поорег	2013	59 ± 41	4 ± 2	0 ± 0	34 ± 2	4 ± 4	4 ±		
	2012	260 ± 457	6 ± 2	NA	547 ± 0	20 ± 8	16 ±		
FCW	2013	198 ± 437	6 ± 2	1 ± 2	70 ± 0	7 ± 2	7 ±		
MMNT-CT 20 ²	2012	4018 ± 5304	4 ± 1	NA	5617 ± 1995	69 ± 29	11 ±		
	2013	596 ± 1132	6 ± 1	8 ± 17	114 ± 42	4 ± 0	3 ±		
CAF-NT*	2012	634 ± 399	10 ± 5	NA	73 ± 1	2 ± 1	1 ±		
	2013	226 ± 279	11 ± 2	2 ± 2	3 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ±		
*Only carbon losses through surface runoff losses listed here.									

Conclusions

the conventional tillage site.

• N₂O emissions for CAF-NT over the 2013 crop year were higher than the IPCC Tier 1 estimate of 1% of fertilizer N. The IPCC estimate would yield emissions of 1-2 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, while the measurements show 10±6 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Ongoing paired tower-chamber results will help to understand why we see elevated emissions.