

Transitioning Cereal Systems to Adapt to Climate Change

November 13-14, 2015

Adaptation to drought under climate change: A global perspective

> Stefan Siebert Senior Scientist University of Bonn



November 13-14, 2015

Adaptation to drought under climate change: A global perspective

Stefan Siebert Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation (INRES) University of Bonn, Germany



November 13-14, 2015

Adaptation to drought under climate change: A global perspective

- Introduction
- Adaptation to drought by
 - Land use conversion
 - Irrigation
 - Trade
 - Crop and cultivar choice
 - Adjusted crop phenology
 - Increased water use efficiency

Freshwater is a renewable resource!



Introduction

Spatiotemporal patterns matter!

Annual P / PET (aridity index) 0.00 - 0.03 hyper-arid 0.03 - 0.20 arid 0.20 - 0.50 semi-arid 0.50 - 0.65 dry sub-humid > 0.65 humid





Number of months with P < 0.5 PET

Data sources: <u>WorldClim</u>, <u>Global Aridity and PET</u> <u>Database</u>



Introduction



Adaptation: changing cropland use



Adaptation: changing cropland use

Higher crop productivity by irrigation!



Production loss in cereal production (~ year 2000) when not using irrigation

In arid regions yields are more than doubled when using irrigation



Adaptation: irrigation

Will irrigation water requirement increase under climate change?



When accounting for the effect of increasing CO_2 on crop growth and transpiration, **irrigation requirements will decline** (at least for C_3 -crops).



Adaptation: irrigation

Is the increase in irrigated land becoming smaller?



No! The slow down often shown for most recent years likely because of time lag in the availability of census statistics!

Adaptation: irrigation

Saving water by trade?

Hoff et al., 2014





Net balances of blue and green virtual water flows caused by trade of 21 major crop commodities:

Hyper arid regions (AI<0.03):

Inflow of blue water: $5 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ Inflow of green water: $17 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$

Arid regions (0.03<AI<0.2):

Outflow of blue water: 48 km³ yr⁻¹ **Inflow** of green water: 54 km³ yr⁻¹

Semi-arid regions (0.2<AI<0.5):

Outflow of blue water: 34 km³ yr⁻¹ **Outflow** of green water: 130 km³ yr⁻¹



Adaptation: trade

Adaptation by crop substitution?



Adaptation: crop + cultivar choice

Pearl millet versus maize (both irrigated) under climate change in Iran



Adaptation: crop choice

Pearl millet versus maize (both irrigated) under climate change in Iran



Adaptation: modified sowing date

Cultivar selection



Different thermal time requirements of cultivars offer another option for adjusting crop phenology to seasonality of climate

Adaptation: cultivar choice

Conclusion



Climate is not changing by tomorrow, long-term change needs to be considered

=> Big change in technology, management, crops, cultivars, trade flows and consumer behavior can be expected

=> Looking for innovations by changing these factors may be similar important as improving present local production systems



Adaptation: modified sowing date







REACCH Regional Approaches to Climate Change – PACIFIC NORTHWEST AGRICULTURE Thank you to our sponsors:

We will add this to the end of each presentation



Thank you!

University of Idaho











United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture



Pacific Northwest Farmers Cooperative

Monsanto

