Perception of Weather Variables and Crop Production Yields

Breanna Bethea

REACCH Internship at OSU

August 10, 2017



Contact Us Log in Sign up

Resources

Data is always in season.

Welcome to AgBiz Logic!

AgBiz Logic is a suite of economic, financial, and environmental decision tools for businesses that grow, harvest, package, add value, and sell agricultural products.



AgBizProfit™

Measure your profitability.

AgBizProfit enables you to make more effective short-, medium-, and long-term capital investment decisions by effectively measuring your investment's profitability.

AgBizFinance™

Manage your financial risk.

AgBizFinance empowers you to make sound investment decisions based on 19 financial ratios and performance measures.



AgBizLease™

Enhance your negotiations. AgBizLease allows you to establish equitable crop and livestock leases



AgBizEnvironment™

Gauge your environmental impact.

AgBizEnvironment gives you the ability to account for environmental impacts when analyzing business decisions.



AgBizClimate[™]

Observe before and after effects of climate change.

AgBizClimate is both a farm-level decision support tool and an assessment tool for researchers and government agencies to realistically determine how climate change and climate change policies may influence and impact regional agricultural sectors.



Past Research

- Growers tend to use a holistic approach when making farm management decisions (Singh et al., 2016)
- Increased climate variability, cooler and wetter springs, hotter and drier summers, frequency of storms, and warm winters were found to be important weather variables (Roncoli et al., 2006)
- Farmers expressed the need to be able to assess climate impacts and also develop new adaptation strategies for climate variability (Capalbo & Seavert, 2016)
- It was found that farmers wanted to see ways of minimizing and managing climate and weather risks (Mase & Prokopy, 2013)

Research Procedure

• Growers were asked to complete a 15 minute survey.

lease select your county				
lease select your county	How frequently do you n	nonitor or	What percentage do you thin	-
	observe the number of		crop yields or quality will incre or decrease based on conse	
	consecutive dry days	when	dry days?	cutive
lease select your crop for this	producing this crop?		ury uays?	
Irvey	Frequently		Greater than 25%	
	Frequently			
Winter Wheat	Sometimes		20%	
			15%	
Spring Wheat	Rarely			
Spring Barley	Not at all		10%	
			5%	
Rye	Not applicable		0%0	
Out of the second se			0%	
Canola	What perceptage do you	think your		
Camelina	What percentage do you crop yields or quality wil		-5%	
	or decrease based on c		-10%	
Apples	dry days?		-1070	
Charries			-15%	

Hypothesis(es)

- It was predicted that the weather variables presented would all have at least a 10% impact on crop production yields.
- Weather variables included:



Consecutive dry days Consecutive wet days Nights below freezing Length of growing season Number of warm nights Extremely cold days Diurnal temperature range Total seasonal precipitation Seasonal minimum temperature Seasonal maximum temperature Total chilling hours Total growing degree days Number of heat wave events Very heavy precipitation days

<u>Results</u>

- It was predicted that the weather variables presented would all have at least a 10% impact on crop
- Little to no trends were found due to lack of responses

Conclusions and Discussion

- Things I would've done differently:
 - Would have liked to have more time
 - More participants
 - Taken in account crop type

Extension Products



AgBiz Logic Intro Video

https://youtu.be/1yZij1Mck1U

Thank You

- Special thanks to Clark Seavert, Gabrille Roesch McNally and Jessica Taylor for mentoring this research.
- This work was supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA), USDA Award Number: 2016-67032-25012

Questions?

References

- Bartels, W. L., Furman, C. A., Diehl, D. C., Royce, F. S., Dourte, D. R., Ortiz, B. V., ... & Jones,
- J. W. (2013). Warming up to climate change: A participatory approach to engaging with agricultural stakeholders in the Southeast US. *Regional Environmental Change*, 1-11.
- Burke, B. J., & Heynen, N. (2014). Transforming participatory science into socioecological praxis: valuing marginalized environmental knowledges in the face of the neoliberalization of nature and science. *Environment and Society*, *5*(1), 7-27.
- Cabrera, V. E., Breuer, N. E., & Hildebrand, P. E. (2006). North Florida dairy farmer perceptions toward the use of seasonal climate forecast technology. Climatic Change, 78(2), 479-491.
- Capalbo, S. M., Antle, J. M., & Seavert, C. (2017). Next generation data systems and knowledge products to support agricultural producers and science-based policy decision
- making. Agricultural Systems, 155, 191.
- Dilling, L., & Lemos, M. C. (2011). Creating usable science: Opportunities and constraints for climate knowledge use and their implications for science policy. *Global environmental change*, *21*(2), 680-689.
- Mase, A. S., & Prokopy, L. S. (2014). Unrealized potential: A review of perceptions and use of weather and climate information in agricultural decision making. *Weather, Climate, and Society, 6*(1), 47-61.

References cont.

- Meadow, A. M., Ferguson, D. B., Guido, Z., Horangic, A., Owen, G., & Wall, T. (2015).
- Moving toward the deliberate coproduction of climate science knowledge. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 7(2), 179-191.
- Oregon State University & Climate Hub. (2017). County weather variable graphs
- Prokopy, L. S., Carlton, J. S., Haigh, T., Lemos, M. C., Mase, A. S., & Widhalm, M. (2017).
- Useful to Usable: Developing usable climate science for agriculture. *Climate Risk Management*, 15, 1-7.
- Roncoli, C., Paz, J., Breuer, N., Ingram, K., Hoogenboom, G., & Broad, K.
- (2006). Understanding Farming Decisions and Potential Applications of Climate Forecasts in South Georigia. Southeast Climate Consortium.
- Singh, C., Dorward, P., & Osbahr, H. (2016). Developing a holistic approach to the analysis of farmer decision-making: Implications for adaptation policy and practice in developing countries. Land Use Policy, 59, 329-343.